UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,982	06/15/2006	Robert C. Shipman	13516-4	1560
1059 BERESKIN AN	7590 11/19/200 ND PARR	8	EXAM	IINER
40 KING STRE	40 KING STREET WEST POHNERT, STEVEN C			
BOX 401 TORONTO, ON	N M5H 3Y2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonsious Cummons	10/582,982	2,982 SHIPMAN ET AL.	
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven C. Pohnert	1634	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Steven C. Pohnert</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Patricia Folkins</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 November 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	;]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 49 and 78.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Denfele</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative</u> this case to allowance in view of the submission of 10/28/2 demonstrating unexpected results, would be the easiest was to what should be provided in the declaration. No agreement (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenda	e contacted the examiner about 008. The examiner suggested ay to overcome the art of reconsents were reached	ut the best method that a declaration of the that a declaration of the the declaration of	od to move on iscussion as er the claims
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached		ould render the	claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Steven C Pohnert/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)